Quantitative Assessment of the Coracoacromial and the Coracoclavicular Ligaments With 3-Dimensional Mapping of the Coracoacromial Process Anatomy: A Cadaveric Study of Surgically Relevant Structures


Purpose: To perform a quantitative anatomic evaluation of the (1) coracoid process, specifically the attachment sites of the conjoint tendon, the pectoralis minor, the coracoacromial ligament (CAL), and the coracoclavicular (CC) ligaments in relation to pertinent osseous and soft tissue landmarks; (2) CC ligaments’ attachments on the clavicle; and (3) CAL attachment on the acromion in relation to surgically relevant anatomic landmarks to assist in planning of the Latarjet procedure, acromioclavicular (AC) joint reconstructions, and CAL resection distances avoiding iatrogenic injury to surrounding structures. Methods: Ten nonpaired fresh-frozen human cadaveric shoulders (mean age 52 years, range 33-64 years) were included in this study. A 3-dimensional coordinate measuring device was used to quantify the location of pertinent bony landmarks and soft tissue attachment areas. The ligament and tendon attachment perimeters and center points on the coracoid, clavicle, and acromion were identified and subsequently dissected off the bone. Coordinates of points along the perimeters of attachment sites were used to calculate areas, whereas coordinates of center points were used to determine distances between surgically relevant attachment sites and pertinent bony landmarks. Results: The CAL had a single consistent acromial attachment (mean area 77 mm² [51.9, 102.2]) and then bifurcated into 2 bundles, anterior and posterior, that separately inserted on the lateral aspect of the coracoid. The footprint areas were 54.4 mm² [31.7, 77.2] and 30.6 mm² [23.4, 37.7] for the anterior and posterior CAL bundles, respectively. These anterior and posterior bundles attached 10.6 mm [8.4, 12.9] and 24.8 mm [12.3, 27.4] medial and proximal to the apex of the coracoid process, respectively. The minimum distance between the coracoid apex and the trapezoid ligament was 25.1 mm [22.1, 28.1] and was noted to be different in males (28.1 mm [25.1; 31.2]) and females (22.0 mm [18.2, 25.9]). The most lateral insertion of the CC ligaments on the clavicle the AC joint was 15.7 mm [13.1, 18.3]. The distance between the most medial to the most lateral point of the CC ligaments on the clavicle was 25.6 mm [22.3, 28.9], which accounted for 18.2% [15.8, 20.6] of the clavicle length. Conclusions: In contrast to previous findings, 2 different coracoid attachments (anterior and posterior bundles) of the CAL were consistently identified in all specimens. Moreover, a coracoid osteotomy for a bone graft for the Latarjet procedure should be performed at least at 28.1/22 mm from the apex of the coracoid in male/female...
patients, respectively. The CC ligaments’ attachments on the clavicle were located 15.7 mm from the AC joint, which should be considered for reconstruction. **Clinical Relevance:** During the Latarjet technique, to maintain the integrity of the CC ligaments, precise knowledge of differences between male and female anatomy is necessary during a coracoid osteotomy. Furthermore, when reconstructing the AC joint, the distance from the lateral aspect of the clavicle and the size of the attachments areas should be considered to better replicate the native anatomy.

The coracoid process serves as the attachment site for many structures, including the conjoint tendon of the coracobrachialis and the short head of the biceps, the pectoralis minor muscle, the coracoacromial ligament (CAL), the coracoclavicular (CC) ligaments, and the coracohumeral ligament. Given the close proximity and intimate relations of these important structures, a precise, quantitative understanding of their relations is crucial to the successful surgical management of pathology in this region.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) For example, the CAL has been formerly described as a flat triangular band extending from the coracoid process to the acromion.\(^5\) Currently, the literature includes reports of the CAL origin from the apex of the acromion,\(^6\) from the medial or inferior border,\(^7\) or even from the broader extent of the inferior surface of the acromion.\(^5\),\(^8\) The precise anatomic location of the CAL is important to consider in the setting of many procedures including acromioplasty and capsular repair in the Latarjet procedure. Furthermore, understanding the intricate anatomy of the CAL may aid surgeons to anatomically repair or reconstruct this structure because the disruption of the coracoacromial arc has been associated with superior migration of the humeral head.\(^9\),\(^10\)

Modern techniques in shoulder surgery emphasize the importance of detailed knowledge of the native anatomy to avoid iatrogenic injury to important anatomical structures.\(^11\),\(^12\) In the Latarjet procedure,\(^13\) the coracoid process is osteotomized and transferred along with the conjoint tendon of the coracobrachialis and short head of the biceps tendon to the anterior glenoid rim to treat glenoid bone loss and restore glenohumeral joint stability.\(^14\) Limited literature exists regarding the anatomical gender differences pertinent to performing an osteotomy of the coracoid. In addition, in cases of acromioclavicular (AC) joint dislocation,\(^15\)\(^-\)\(^21\) in which the CC ligaments are torn, their original attachments may be difficult to identify. In these cases, other landmarks should be used to guide anatomical reconstruction. Rios et al.\(^4\) suggested that the medial edge of the bony tunnels could be calculated as percentages of the clavicular length (as measured from the lateral border of the clavicle). Thus, the tunnels for the reconstructed conoid and trapezoid ligaments should be created on the superior clavicle, at a point representing 30% and 17% of the clavicle length, respectively. In addition, Salzmann et al.\(^2\) found that...
Quantitative Measurements

A portable coordinate measuring device (7315 Romer Absolute Arm, Hexagon Metrology, North Kingstown, RI) was used to quantify the location of pertinent bony landmarks and areas of the ligament and tendon footprints. All fine dissections were carried out by 2 orthopaedic physicians (J.C. and G.M.), and the measurements performed in agreement by both surgeons (because the ligaments could only be resected once from the attachments).

All pertinent bony and soft tissue landmarks were identified and measured using the coordinate measuring device with the scapula rigidly fixed in place. Sites mapped on the coracoid included the attachments of the conjoint tendon, the pectoralis minor tendon, the trapezoid and conoid CC ligaments, the anterior and posterior CAL bundles, and the line of the anterior CAL bundle occupied by the conjoint tendon. Next, the clavicle was disarticulated from the AC joint. Care was taken to maintain the stumps of the conoid and trapezoid ligaments in place on its inferior surface. The clavicle was then securely clamped in anatomical position, and the attachments were identified, including the most medial and lateral aspects of the CC ligament footprints, the areas of the ligament footprints, and the central points of each individual ligament. The clavicle length was also recorded medially to laterally (as a 2-point measurement) to calculate the distance from the AC joint to the CC ligament perimeter and insertion as a percentage of total clavicular length. Finally, the points of interest on the acromion were identified and collected. These included the CAL acromial attachment and the most anteromedial, anterolateral, and posterolateral aspect of the acromion.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed with custom software (MATLAB 2008b, The MathWorks, Natick, MA). Distance measurements were collected as the 3-dimensional linear distances between structures and were referred to as direct distances. Unless otherwise noted, all anatomical distance measurements were measured between the centers of the 2 structures. Cross-sectional areas were computed by projecting points taken along the circumference of the attachment onto an interpolated plane and calculating the area of the resulting 2-dimensional polyhedron. Average (mean) measurements across the 10 specimens were computed with lower and upper 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results

All results are expressed as means with 95% CIs [lower bound, upper bound]. Distances are reported in millimeters (mm) and areas in mm².

Qualitative Anatomy

The conjoint tendon, formed by the short head of the biceps tendon and the coracobrachialis tendon, attached near the apex of the coracoid with an elliptical insertion and a larger transverse diameter. In addition, the conjoint tendon partially attached to the most lateral aspect of the anterior CAL bundle. The CAL had a bifurcation that resulted in 2 distinct attachments on the lateral surface of the coracoid. The acromial attachment of the CAL was located on the inferior surface of the anteromedial and anterolateral aspect of the acromion (Fig 2).

The deep fascia of the deltoid attached to the most anterior aspect of the anterior CAL (Fig 3). The coracohumeral ligament attached broadly on the inferior aspect of the coracoid, just inferior to the posterior CAL. The pectoralis minor tendon attached on the...
superomedial side of the coracoid, posterior to the conjoint tendon attachment and anterior to the trapezoid ligament insertion in an oblong elliptical insertion. The trapezoid ligament attached on the superior surface of the coracoid with some fibers extending to the medial surface of the coracoid, posterior to the pectoralis minor insertion and anterior to the conoid ligament. The conoid insertion was primarily on the superior part of the coracoid but also extended medially in a C-shaped form. In some specimens, the conoid and trapezoid ligament fibers joined at the base (Fig 3). The conoid and trapezoid ligaments coursed laterally to insert on the inferior surface of the clavicle. The conoid inserted posteriorly on the conoid tubercle in a C-shaped area and the trapezoid ligament on the trapezoid line more anteriorly (elliptical insertion).

**Quantitative Coracoid Anatomy**

The footprint attachment areas of the ligaments and tendons of interest are reported in Table 1, and distances between the center points of all ligaments, tendons, and osseous landmarks are reported in Table 2. The average length of the coracoid from the base to the tip was 41.4 mm (95% CI [38.2, 44.5]).

**Surgically Relevant Attachment Areas**

**Tendons.** The 2 major tendons attaching to the coracoid process were the conjoint tendon (on the apex) and the pectoralis minor tendon (on the medial side). The area of the conjoint tendon footprint was 48.9 mm$^2$ [35.6, 62.2]. Of note, the conjoint tendon had a linear insertion on the distal and anterior aspect of the anterior CAL bundle that measured 7.1 mm [5.4, 8.8]. The pectoralis minor footprint was 42 mm$^2$ [32.2, 50.7].

**Ligaments.** Four ligaments attached to the coracoid process: the CAL (both anterior and posterior CAL bundles), the trapezoid ligament, the conoid ligament, and the coracohumeral ligament. The CAL bifurcated into 2 footprints on the superolateral aspect of the coracoid. The footprint areas were 54.4 mm$^2$ [31.7, 77.2] and 30.6 mm$^2$ [23.4, 37.7] for the anterior CAL and posterior CAL bundles, respectively. The coracohumeral ligament inserted just inferior to the posterior CAL at a mean distance of 11.6 mm [7.1, 16.1].

---

**Table 1. Attachment Areas for the Ligaments and Tendons Attaching to the Coracoid Process, Acromion, and Clavicle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Coracoid Mean Area</th>
<th>Lower 95% CI</th>
<th>Upper 95% CI</th>
<th>Acromion Mean Area</th>
<th>Lower 95% CI</th>
<th>Upper 95% CI</th>
<th>Clavicle Mean Area</th>
<th>Lower 95% CI</th>
<th>Upper 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA ligaments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC ligaments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezoid</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conoid</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectoralis minor</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjoint tendon</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAL, coracohumeral ligaments; CC, coracoclavicular; CI, confidence interval.
The footprint areas of the anterior CAL and posterior CAL bundles occupied 35.3% [29.2, 41.3] and 23.1% [20.6, 25.6] of the total distance of the lateral coracoid process, respectively (Fig 4).

The coracoid attachment areas for the conoid and trapezoid ligaments were 37 mm$^2$ [31.8, 42.2] and 44.3 mm$^2$ [32.7, 55.9], respectively (Table 2).

Surgically Relevant Distances to Important Landmarks

Tendons. The conjoint tendon of the short head of the biceps and the coracobrachialis attached superolaterally to the apex of the coracoid process at a mean distance of 3 mm [1.9, 4.2], and the pectoralis minor attached 12.1 mm [10.7, 13.5] from the apex of the coracoid. The distance between the centers of the conjoint tendon and the pectoralis minor footprints was 11.8 mm [10.5, 13] (Table 2).

Ligaments. The distance between the centers of the anterior and posterior CAL bundle insertions on the coracoid was 15.6 mm [13.2, 18.1]. The anterior and posterior CAL bundles attached 10.6 mm [8.4, 12.9] and 24.8 mm [12.3, 27.4] from the apex of the coracoid process, respectively. The distance from the coracoid apex to the initiation of the anterior and posterior CAL was 4.9 mm [3.0, 6.9] and 20.4 mm [18.4, 22.5], respectively. All other distances are reported and visually shown in Table 2 and Figure 5, respectively.

Quantitative CC Ligaments: Conoid and Trapezoid Ligament Anatomy

Coracoid Anatomy

The minimum distance between the coracoid apex and the trapezoid ligament was 25.1 mm [22.1, 28.1]. Gender differences were noted, however, such that for male specimens, the mean distance was 28.1 mm [25.1; 31.2] and for female specimens, the mean was 22.0 mm [18.2, 25.9] (Fig 6). The trapezoid ligament and the conoid ligaments were 17.7 mm [16.1, 19.4] and 10.1 mm [7.9, 12.3] from the base of the coracoid, respectively. Furthermore, the trapezoid and conoid ligaments were 18.7 mm [15.5, 21.8] and 25.5 mm [22.1, 28.6] from the center of the pectoralis minor tendon, respectively. The distance between the centers of the footprints of the CC ligaments was 8.8 mm [7.4, 10.3].

Clavicle Anatomy

The mean distance from the most medial aspect to the most lateral aspect of the clavicle (clavicle length) was 141.3 mm [134.2, 148.4]. The distance between the centers of the trapezoid and conoid ligament attachments was 16.2 mm [14.1, 18.4], and the distance from the most anterior to the most posterior point of the CC ligaments was 19.3 mm [16.1, 22.6]. With regard to medial to lateral extent, the distance between the most medial aspect of the conoid to the most lateral point of the trapezoid was 25.6 mm [22.3, 28.9], which...
accounted for 18.2% [15.8, 20.6] of the total clavicular length. The distance between the point of the most lateral insertion of the CC ligament to the lateral aspect of the clavicle at the AC joint was 15.7 mm [13.1, 18.3] (Fig 7).

**CAL Attachment on Acromion**

The mean area of the CAL acromial attachment was 77 mm² (95% CI [51.9, 102.2]). The acromial attachment of the CAL was 8.9 mm (95% CI [6.4, 11.4]) inferior and lateral to the anterior acromial point and 9.9 mm (95% CI [6.1, 13.7]) inferomedial to the anterolateral acromial point (Fig 8).

**Discussion**

The main findings of this study were that a consistent 3-dimensional relation of the ligamentous and tendinous structures attaching to the coracoid process was identified, and the precision by which this relation was identified provides a useful framework to guide surgical procedures involving the coracoid process. Importantly, 2 distinct attachments of the CAL, the anterior (more prominent) and posterior bundles, were found consistently on the superolateral aspect of the coracoid process. The CC ligaments, specifically the most distal attachment of the trapezoid ligament, attached at a mean distance of 28.1 mm [25.1, 31.2] and 22.0 mm [18.2, 25.9] from the apex of the coracoid for male and female specimens, respectively.

Contrary to previous studies, our study quantifies the areas of the ligamentous and tendinous attachments and describes their locations with respect to each other and to bony landmarks of the coracoid such as the apex and base of the coracoid process. These findings are surgically relevant in numerous contexts including CC reconstruction, distal clavicle resection, and Latarjet among others. In the current study, the most lateral aspect of the CC ligaments was found to be located at an average of 15.7 mm from the lateral aspect of the clavicle at the AC joint. The CC ligaments were noted to span an average length of 25.6 mm on the clavicle. Based on these findings, surgical reconstruction of the CC ligaments with a single cortical fixation device should use a clavicle tunnel placed midway between the span of the CC ligaments, at a distance of 28.5 mm from the lateral aspect of the clavicle at the AC joint, which is 16.5 mm lateral to what was previously reported. The importance of this distinction is highlighted by a recent study by Eisenstein et al. that reported that medialization of the tunnel resulted in the increased risk of failure. Although the broad attachment of the CC ligaments may not be replicated by using single cortical fixation devices, the risk of clavicle fracture increases with larger or multiple tunnels. In a controlled laboratory study, Rios et al. found similar consistency in the anatomy of the CC ligaments to the results presented here and reported that the constant ratio of the sites of the conoid and trapezoid ligaments with respect to the total length of the clavicle could aid surgeons in placing tunnels for anatomic CC reconstruction intraoperatively. With regard to distal clavicle...
resection, the shortest distance from the lateral clavicle to the CC ligaments in this study was 13.1 mm. Therefore, lateral clavicular resection for AC joint pathology or lateral clavicular osteotomy for bone graft harvest should not exceed 13 mm. The AC ligaments that provide horizontal stability may be weakened by wide distal clavicle resections.

The present cadaveric study provides detailed information regarding the coracoid and acromial insertion of the CAL that may be useful to surgeons during both arthroscopic and open shoulder surgery. The distance from the coracoid tip (apex) to the anterior and posterior CAL attachments was found to be 10.7 and 24.8 mm, respectively. Dolan et al. similarly reported that the distance from the coracoid tip to the anterior and posterior CAL attachments was a mean 7.8 and 25.7 mm. These findings are important in the context of the Latarjet procedure as the posterior attachment of the CAL may be spared during harvesting of the coracoid process. Preserving the posterior CAL may help maintain the coracoacromial arch. In addition, our findings regarding differences in gender in the minimum distance between the coracoid apex and the trapezoid ligament are important for planning the coracoid osteotomy. With respect to the acromial attachment of the CAL, few authors have quantitatively evaluated this attachment site. Gallino et al. performed a cadaveric study to characterize the overall shape and character of the CAL. Although they noted that traditionally the ligament was thought to represent a triangular structure from the apex of the acromion to the lateral coracoid, they found a stout, trapezoidal structure with a wide insertion on the inferior aspect of the acromion displaying variable thickness. Because of the stout nature of the CAL, they postulated that it might counteract the surrounding muscle acting on the coracoid process as a “robust suspension.” A similarly broad attachment of the CAL on the acromion was found in this study that suggests that release of the CAL is possible with preservation of this attachment site.

Fig 6. Dissected cadaveric right shoulder showing the coracoid (as viewed from medial) and clavicular attachment of the trapezoid (T). The distances measured from the most distal attachment of the trapezoid (A) to the superior tip of the coracoid process (B) and the tip of the coracoid (C).

Fig 7. Inferior view of a cadaveric left clavicle showing the attachment shapes of the trapezoid (T) and conoid (C) ligaments. The mean clavicle length was 14.1 cm [13.4, 14.8], and the mean distance between the most lateral insertions of the coracoclavicular ligaments from the lateral aspect of the clavicle at the AC joint was 15.7 mm.

Fig 8. Schematic representation of the coracoacromial ligament (CAL) attachment on the inferior surface of the anterior aspect of the acromion of a right shoulder. The most reliable bony landmarks are depicted: the anterior and anterolateral points of the acromion with their respective distances measured to the center of the insertion of the CAL on the acromion, indicated by the dashed lines. The conjoint tendon had a linear insertion on the distal and anterior aspect of the anterior CAL bundle that measured 7.1 mm [5.4, 8.8].
entire CAL from the acromion may not be necessary during an arthroscopic lateral acromioplasty. Lastly, during a Latarjet procedure, the CAL is transected approximately 1 cm from its insertion on the coracoid process, leaving a cuff of soft tissue (CAL) on the coracoid for later incorporation into the capsular repair (which also allows for the preservation the linear insertion of the conjoint tendon on the distal and anterior aspect of the anterior CAL bundle).

Limitations
The present study has some limitations inherent to a cadaveric study design. Although a detailed dissection was performed to clearly visualize the anatomic attachments and fiber orientations, distances were calculated as absolute 3-dimensional vector norms, which do not provide directional information. In addition, removal of the clavicle during data collection was necessary to obtain accurate measurements of the CC ligament attachments, because the coracoid process impedes the access of the measuring tip with the clavicle in anatomic position. Also, the relatively limited number of specimens may have led to underpowered results for the general population; however, the consistency and low standard deviations in this study strengthen the discovered results in this respect.

Conclusions
In contrast to previous findings, 2 different coracoid attachments (anterior and posterior bundles) of the CAL were consistently noted in all specimens. Moreover, a coracoid osteotomy for a bone graft for the Latarjet procedure should be performed at less than 28.1/22 mm from the apex of the coracoid in male/female patients, respectively. Lastly, the CC ligaments’ attachments on the clavicle accounted for 18.2% of the clavicle length and were located 15.7 mm from the lateral aspect of the AC joint, which should be considered for a single tunnel reconstruction.
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